

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL Nos.3346-3348 OF 2005

COUNCIL OF ARCHITECTURE

...APPELLANT (s)

VERSUS

MANOHAR KRISHNAJI RANADE & ORS.

...RESPONDENT (s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

While we find no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment and order dated 29th November, 2004 passed by the Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No.1830 of 1988 and connected matters, we are of the view that the High Court was in error in rejecting the contention of the appellant that practice under the Architects Act, 1972 is not restricted only to the architects. It is not correct to say that any one can practice as an architect even if he is not registered under the Architects Act, 1972.

That being the position and with this clarification,
we dispose of these appeals.

.....J.
(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J.
(PRAFULLA C. PANT)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
SANJAY K. PANT
Date: 2017.02.15
17:10:41
Reason:

NEW DELHI
FEBRUARY 14, 2017

